## Amendment to Pre-Registered Trial AEARCTR-0011330 <br> "What do People Choose to Watch" 28 September 2023

We collected a sample using prolific, as announced in the original pre-registration. The experiment has 6 experimental conditions ( $2 \times 3$ design), and within each experimental condition, people would be asked to choose among 8 videos of Ted talks. 4 of these videos would be from a 'male field' (either Technology or Business) and 4 would be from a 'female field' (either Health or Environment). We had prepared 2 sets of four videos for each theme, and Qualtrics was programmed to randomize between these different sets.

There were four different stages of randomization as explained in the Table below:

| First randomization | Second randomization | Third Randomization | Fourth randomization |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Incentive treatment <br> - Baseline <br> - Gender incentive <br> - Female field incentive | Attention questions: <br> - non-expertise <br> attention questions <br> - Expertise attention questions | Which male and female fields sets are shown: <br> Male field: <br> - Tech 1/ Tech 2/ <br> Business 1/ <br> Business 2 <br> Female field: <br> - Health 1 / Health 2 <br> / Environment 1 / Environment 2 | Which video is shown to participants among their top 3 <br> - Rank 1 with $50 \%$ chances <br> - Rank 2 with $1 / 3$ chances <br> - $\quad$ Rank 3 with $1 / 6$ chances |

The key randomizations are the first and second, since there are the treatment variations for which we have predictions. But ideally, there would be a balance in the sets of videos participants were exposed to (third randomization). Ex post, we noticed imbalances in the assignment of sets to treatments (which occur at random). While we do not think these matter for the results, we would like to ensure that there are no such imbalances. Table A. 6 shows that there were too many Technology and Health field assignments in the baseline for men, and too few Technology and Health video assignments in the gender incentive treatment. Table A. 7 shows that among listening (non-expertise) question treatment the female field assignment was skewed towards Health, while among content (expertise) question treatment the assignment was skewed towards Environment.

In the spreadsheet below, we provide the counts of observations we have per each Male Field $x$ Female Field x Incentive x Question Type. The counts vary from as low as 8 up to 24.

To restore balance, we decided to bring the number of observations in each cell to be at least 16, which means collecting 50 more observations ( 38 for men and 12 for women). The number of observations to be collected for each cell in Round 2 is shown in the last column.

After adding more observations in certain cells of Male Field x Female Field x Incentive x Question Type, we expect to achieve balance in the randomized values across treatments (See new expected balance tables attached at the end).

The plan is to collect these additional observations on September $29^{\text {th }} 2023$.

Table A.6: Experiment: Descriptive statistics by the incentive type

|  | Male respondents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Female respondents |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Baseline |  | Gender incentive |  |  | Field incentive |  |  | Baseline |  |  |
|  | mean | sd | mean | sd | p-val* | mean | sd | p-val ${ }^{\text { }}$ | mean | sd | p-val ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Randomized values: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Listening questions (1/0) | 0.50 | (0.50) | 0.50 | (0.50) | [0.95] | 0.49 | (0.50) | [0.90] | 0.49 | (0.50) | [0.90] |
| Content questions (1/0) | 0.50 | (0.50) | 0.50 | (0.50) | [0.95] | 0.51 | (0.50) | [0.90] | 0.51 | (0.50) | [0.90] |
| Male field: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Technology (1/0) | 0.57 | (0.50) | 0.44 | (0.50) | [0.03] | 0.50 | (0.50) | [0.25] | 0.51 | (0.50) | [0.31] |
| Business (1/0) | 0.43 | (0.50) | 0.56 | (0.50) | [0.03] | 0.50 | (0.50) | [0.25] | 0.49 | (0.50) | [0.31] |
| Female field: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Health (1/0) | 0.54 | (0.50) | 0.50 | (0.50) | [0.50] | 0.47 | (0.50) | [0.26] | 0.49 | (0.50) | [0.45] |
| Environment (1/0) | 0.46 | (0.50) | 0.50 | (0.50) | [0.50] | 0.53 | (0.50) | [0.26] | 0.51 | (0.50) | [0.45] |
| Video displayed (1 to 3) | 1.72 | (0.78) | 1.72 | (0.76) | [0.97] | 1.55 | (0.69) | [0.07] | 1.70 | (0.76) | [0.81] |

Table A.7: Experiment: Descriptive statistics by the question type

|  | Male respondents |  |  |  |  | Female respondents |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Listening |  | Content |  | p-val ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Listening |  | Content |  | p-val* |
|  | mean | sd | mean | sd |  | mean | sd | mean | sd |  |
| Randomized values: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male field: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Technology (1/0) | 0.60 | (0.49) | 0.54 | (0.50) | [0.48] | 0.50 | (0.50) | 0.52 | (0.50) | [0.86] |
| Business (1/0) | 0.40 | (0.49) | 0.46 | (0.50) | [0.48] | 0.50 | (0.50) | 0.48 | (0.50) | [0.86] |
| Female field: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Health (1/0) | 0.51 | (0.50) | 0.57 | (0.50) | [0.48] | 0.58 | (0.50) | 0.41 | (0.50) | [0.05] |
| Environment (1/0) | 0.49 | (0.50) | 0.43 | (0.50) | [0.48] | 0.42 | (0.50) | 0.59 | (0.50) | [0.05] |
| Video displayed (1 to 3) | 1.70 | (0.78) | 1.75 | (0.78) | [0.73] | 1.79 | (0.79) | 1.61 | (0.73) | [0.18] |


| Gender respondent | Incentive <br> Treatment | Question Treatment | Male field | Female field | N. obs After Round 1 | Minimum target observations | N to collect in the second round |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male respondents | field | content | Business | Environment | 16 | 16 | 0 |
| Male respondents | field | content | Business | Health | 18 | 16 | 0 |
| Male respondents | field | content | Tech | Environment | 19 | 16 | 0 |
| Male respondents | field | content | Tech | Health | 14 | 16 | 2 |
| Male respondents | field | info | Business | Environment | 18 | 16 | 0 |
| Male respondents | field | info | Business | Health | 14 | 16 | 2 |
| Male respondents | field | info | Tech | Environment | 17 | 16 | 0 |
| Male respondents | field | info | Tech | Health | 16 | 16 | 0 |
| Male respondents | gender | content | Business | Environment | 10 | 16 | 6 |
| Male respondents | gender | content | Business | Health | 16 | 16 | 0 |
| Male respondents | gender | content | Tech | Environment | 17 | 16 | 0 |
| Male respondents | gender | content | Tech | Health | 15 | 16 | 1 |
| Male respondents | gender | info | Business | Environment | 24 | 16 | 0 |
| Male respondents | gender | info | Business | Health | 16 | 16 | 0 |
| Male respondents | gender | info | Tech | Environment | 8 | 16 | 8 |
| Male respondents | gender | info | Tech | Health | 11 | 16 | 5 |
| Male respondents | none | content | Business | Environment | 9 | 16 | 7 |
| Male respondents | none | content | Business | Health | 20 | 16 | 0 |
| Male respondents | none | content | Tech | Environment | 18 | 16 | 0 |
| Male respondents | none | content | Tech | Health | 16 | 16 | 0 |
| Male respondents | none | info | Business | Environment | 14 | 16 | 2 |
| Male respondents | none | info | Business | Health | 11 | 16 | 5 |


| Male respondents | none | info | Tech | Environment | 17 | 16 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Male respondents | none | info | Tech | Health | 21 | 16 | 0 |
| Female respondents | none | content | Business | Environment | 19 | 16 |  |
| Female respondents | none | content | Business | Health | 12 | 16 | 0 |
| Female respondents | none | content | Tech | Environment | 19 | 16 | 4 |
| Female respondents | none | content | Tech | Health | 14 | 16 | 0 |
| Female respondents | none | info | Business | Environment | 13 | 16 | 2 |
| Female respondents | none | info | Business | Health | 18 | 16 | 3 |
| Female respondents | none | info | Tech | Environment | 13 | 16 | 0 |
| Female respondents | none | info | Tech | Health | 18 | 16 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |

EXPECTED DESCRIPTIVE TABLES AFTER ROUND 2

## Table A.6: Experiment: [NEW, AFTER ROUND 2] Descriptive statistics by the incentive type

|  | Male respondents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Female respondents <br> Baseline |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Baseline |  | Gender incentive |  |  | Field incentive |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | mean | sd | mean | sd | p-val ${ }^{\text { }}$ | mean | sd | p-val* | mean | sd | p-val* |
| Randomized values: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Listening questions (1/0) | 0.50 | (0.50) | 0.53 | (0.50) | [0.67] | 0.49 | (0.50) | [0.90] | 0.49 | (0.50) | [0.90] |
| Content questions (1/0) | 0.50 | (0.50) | 0.47 | (0.50) | [0.67] | 0.51 | (0.50) | [0.90] | 0.51 | (0.50) | [0.90] |
| Male field: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Technology (1/0) | 0.51 | (0.50) | 0.47 | (0.50) | [0.51] | 0.50 | (0.50) | [0.81] | 0.50 | (0.50) | [0.81] |
| Business (1/0) | 0.49 | (0.50) | 0.53 | (0.50) | [0.51] | 0.50 | (0.50) | [0.81] | 0.50 | (0.50) | [0.81] |
| Female field: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Health (1/0) | 0.52 | (0.50) | 0.47 | (0.50) | [0.37] | 0.49 | (0.50) | [0.55] | 0.49 | (0.50) | [0.63] |
| Environment (1/0) | 0.48 | (0.50) | 0.53 | (0.50) | [0.37] | 0.51 | (0.50) | [0.55] | 0.51 | (0.50) | [0.63] |
| Video displayed (1 to 3) | 1.86 | (0.80) | 1.79 | (0.79) | [0.47] | 1.61 | (0.73) | [0.01] | 1.76 | (0.76) | [0.30] |

Table A.7: Experiment: [NEW, AFTER ROUND 2] Descriptive statistics by the question type


