

References

- Barari, S., Berwick, E., Hainmueller, J., Hopkins, D., Liu, S., Strezhnev, A., & Yamamoto, T. (2023). *Cjoint Package—An R implementation of the Average Marginal Component-specific Effects (AMCE) estimator* [Computer software]. <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/cjoint/cjoint.pdf>
- Chaiken, S., & Trope, Y. (1999). *Dual-process theories in social psychology*. The Guilford Press. <https://psycnet.apa.org/record/1999-02377-000>
- Clark, M. A., Domingo, N. G. G., Colgan, K., Thakrar, S. K., Tilman, D., Lynch, J., Azevedo, I. L., & Hill, J. D. (2020). Global food system emissions could preclude achieving the 1.5° and 2°C climate change targets. *Science*, 370(6517), 705–708. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aba7357>
- Constantino, S. M., Sparkman, G., Kraft-Todd, G. T., Bicchieri, C., Centola, D., Shell-Duncan, B., Vogt, S., & Weber, E. U. (2022). Scaling Up Change: A Critical Review and Practical Guide to Harnessing Social Norms for Climate Action. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 23(2), 50–97. <https://doi.org/10.1177/15291006221105279>
- Creutzig, F., Fernandez, B., Haberl, H., Khosla, R., Mulugetta, Y., & Seto, K. C. (2016). Beyond Technology: Demand-Side Solutions for Climate Change Mitigation. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, 41(1), 173–198. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-environ-110615-085428>
- Crippa, M., Solazzo, E., Guizzardi, D., Monforti-Ferrario, F., Tubiello, F. N., & Leip, A. (2021). Food systems are responsible for a third of global anthropogenic GHG emissions. *Nature Food*, 2(3), 198–209. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-021-00225-9>
- Cuffey, J., Chenarides, L., Li, W., & Zhao, S. (2022). Consumer spending patterns for PLANT-BASED meat alternatives. *Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy*, aepp.13280. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aepp.13280>
- de Boer, J., & Aiking, H. (2017). Pursuing a Low Meat Diet to Improve Both Health and Sustainability: How Can We Use the Frames that Shape Our Meals? *Ecological Economics*, 142, 238–248. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2017.06.037>
- Fesenfeld, L. P., Maier, M., Brazzola, N., Stolz, N., Sun, Y., & Kachi, A. (2023). How information, social norms, and experience with novel meat substitutes can create positive political feedback and demand-side policy change. *Food Policy*, 117, 102445. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2023.102445>
- Fesenfeld, L. P., Zeiske, N., Maier, M., Gallmann, M. R., Van der Werff, E., & Steg, L. (2024). Tasting and labeling meat substitute products can affect consumers' product evaluations and preferences. *Food Quality and Preference*, 118, 105184. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2024.105184>
- Godfray, H. C. J., Aveyard, P., Garnett, T., Hall, J. W., Key, T. J., Lorimer, J., Pierrehumbert, R. T., Scarborough, P., Springmann, M., & Jebb, S. A. (2018). Meat consumption, health, and the environment. *Science*, 361(6399), eaam5324. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aam5324>
- Graça, J., Godinho, C. A., & Truninger, M. (2019). Reducing meat consumption and following plant-based diets: Current evidence and future directions to inform integrated transitions. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 91, 380–390. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2019.07.046>
- Hainmueller, J., Hangartner, D., & Yamamoto, T. (2015). Validating vignette and conjoint survey experiments against real-world behavior. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 112(8), 2395–2400. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1416587112>
- Hainmueller, J., Hopkins, D. J., & Yamamoto, T. (2014). Causal Inference in Conjoint Analysis: Understanding Multidimensional Choices via Stated Preference Experiments. *Political Analysis*, 22(1), 1–30. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pan/mpt024>
- Hartmann, C., & Siegrist, M. (2017). Consumer perception and behaviour regarding sustainable protein consumption: A systematic review. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 61, 11–25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2016.12.006>
- Hartmann, C., & Siegrist, M. (2020). Our daily meat: Justification, moral evaluation and willingness to substitute. *Food Quality and Preference*, 80. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2019.103799>
- He, J., Evans, N. M., Liu, H., & Shao, S. (2020). A review of research on plant-based meat alternatives: Driving forces, history, manufacturing, and consumer attitudes. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, 19(5), 2639–2656. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.12610>
- Hellwig, C., Taherzadeh, M. J., Bolton, K., Lundin, M., Häggblom-Kronlöf, G., & Rousta, K. (2022). Aspects that affect tasting studies of emerging food – a review. *Future Foods*, 5, 100109. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fufo.2021.100109>
- Hoek, A. C., Elzerman, J. E., Hageman, R., Kok, F. J., Luning, P. A., & Graaf, C. de. (2013). Are

meat substitutes liked better over time? A repeated in-home use test with meat substitutes or meat in meals. *Food Quality and Preference*, 28(1), 253–263. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2012.07.002>

Imai, K., & Yamamoto, T. (2013). Identification and Sensitivity Analysis for Multiple Causal Mechanisms: Revisiting Evidence from Framing Experiments. *Political Analysis*, 21(2), 141–171. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pan/mps040>

IPCC. (2022). Summary for Policymakers. In H.-O. Pörtner, D. C. Roberts, M. M. B. Tignor, E. S. Poloczanska, K. Mintenbeck, A. Alegría, M. Craig, S. Langsdorf, S. Löschke, V. Möller, A. Okem, & B. Rama (Eds.), *Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press. In Press.

Jetzke, T., Richter, S., Keppner, B., Domröse, L., Wunder, S., & Ferrari, A. (2020). *Die Zukunft im Blick: Fleisch der Zukunft* (pp. 1–120). Umweltbundesamt. <https://www.umweltbundesamt.de/publikationen/die-zukunft-im-blick-fleisch-der-zukunft>

Kahneman, D. (2011). *Thinking, fast and slow*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.

Kahneman, D., Slovic, P., & Tversky, A. (1982). *Judgment Under Uncertainty: Heuristics and Biases*. Cambridge University Press.

Kukowski, C. A., Bernecker, K., Nielsen, K. S., Hofmann, W., & Brandstätter, V. (2023). Regulate me! Self-control dissatisfaction in meat reduction success relates to stronger support for behavior-regulating policy. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 85, 101922. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2022.101922>

Kwasny, T., Dobernig, K., & Riefler, P. (2022). Towards reduced meat consumption: A systematic literature review of intervention effectiveness, 2001–2019. *Appetite*, 168, 105739. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2021.105739>

Leeper, T. J., & Barnfield, M. (2020). *cregg Package—An R package for analyzing and visualizing the results of conjoint experiments*. [Computer software]. <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/cregg/vignettes/Introduction.html>

Leeper, T. J., Hobolt, S. B., & Tilley, J. (2020). Measuring Subgroup Preferences in Conjoint Experiments. *Political Analysis*, 28(2), 207–221. <https://doi.org/10.1017/pan.2019.30>

Michel, F., Hartmann, C., & Siegrist, M. (2021). Consumers' associations, perceptions and acceptance of meat and plant-based meat alternatives. *Food Quality and Preference*, 87, 104063. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2020.104063>

Montibeller, G., & von Winterfeldt, D. (2015). Cognitive and Motivational Biases in Decision and Risk Analysis. *Risk Analysis*, 35(7), 1230–1251. <https://doi.org/10.1111/risa.12360>

Mundaca, L., Ürge-Vorsatz, D., & Wilson, C. (2019). Demand-side approaches for limiting global warming to 1.5 °C. *Energy Efficiency*, 12(2), 343–362. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12053-018-9722-9>

Neuhofer, Z. T., & Lusk, J. L. (2022). Most plant-based meat alternative buyers also buy meat: An analysis of household demographics, habit formation, and buying behavior among meat alternative buyers. *Scientific Reports*, 12(1), 13062. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-16996-5>

Nguyen, J., Ferraro, C., Sands, S., & Luxton, S. (2022). Alternative protein consumption: A systematic review and future research directions. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 46(5), 1691–1717. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcs.12797>

OECD, & FAO. (2021). *OECD-FAO Agricultural Outlook 2020-2029*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/19428846-en>

Onwezen, M. C., Verain, M. C. D., & Dagevos, H. (2022). Social Norms Support the Protein Transition: The Relevance of Social Norms to Explain Increased Acceptance of Alternative Protein Burgers over 5 Years. *Foods*, 11(21), 3413. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods11213413>

Polaris Market Research. (2020). *Global Plant-Based Meat Market Size Report, 2022—2030*. Polaris Market Research and Consulting Website. <https://www.polarismarketresearch.com/industry-analysis/plant-based-meat-market>

Poore, J., & Nemecek, T. (2018). Reducing food's environmental impacts through producers and consumers. *Science*, 360(6392), 987–992. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aag0216>

Rees, J. H., Bamberg, S., Jäger, A., Victor, L., Bergmeyer, M., & Friese, M. (2018). Breaking the Habit: On the Highly Habitualized Nature of Meat Consumption and Implementation Intentions as One Effective Way of Reducing It. *Basic and Applied Social Psychology*, 40(3), 136–147. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01973533.2018.1449111>

Rosenzweig, C., Mbow, C., Barioni, L. G., Benton, T. G., Herrero, M., Krishnapillai, M., Liwenga, E.

T., Pradhan, P., Rivera-Ferre, M. G., Sapkota, T., Tubiello, F. N., Xu, Y., Mencos Contreras, E., & Portugal-Pereira, J. (2020). Climate change responses benefit from a global food system approach. *Nature Food*, 1(2), 94–97. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-020-0031-z>

Saba, A., & Di Natale, R. (1998). A study on the mediating role of intention in the impact of habit and attitude on meat consumption. *Food Quality and Preference*, 10(1), 69–77. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0950-3293\(98\)00039-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0950-3293(98)00039-1)

Scarborough, P., Clark, M., Cobiac, L., Papier, K., Knuppel, A., Lynch, J., Harrington, R., Key, T., & Springmann, M. (2023). Vegans, vegetarians, fish-eaters and meat-eaters in the UK show discrepant environmental impacts. *Nature Food*, 4(7), 565–574. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-023-00795-w>

Siddiqui, S. A., Alvi, T., Sameen, A., Khan, S., Blinov, A. V., Nagdalian, A. A., Mehdizadeh, M., Adli, D. N., & Onwezen, M. (2022). Consumer Acceptance of Alternative Proteins: A Systematic Review of Current Alternative Protein Sources and Interventions Adapted to Increase Their Acceptability. *Sustainability*, 14(22), Article 22. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su142215370>

Siegrist, M., & Hartmann, C. (2020). Consumer acceptance of novel food technologies. *Nature Food*, 1(6), Article 6. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-020-0094-x>

Smetana, S., Profeta, A., Voigt, R., Kircher, C., & Heinz, V. (2021). Meat substitution in burgers: Nutritional scoring, sensorial testing, and Life Cycle Assessment. *Future Foods*, 4, 100042. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fufo.2021.100042>

Smith, E. R., & DeCoster, J. (2000). Dual-Process Models in Social and Cognitive Psychology: Conceptual Integration and Links to Underlying Memory Systems. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 4(2), 108–131. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327957PSPR0402_01

Szenderák, J., Fróna, D., & Rákos, M. (2022). Consumer Acceptance of Plant-Based Meat Substitutes: A Narrative Review. *Foods*, 11(9), 1274. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods11091274>

Taufik, D., Verain, M. C. D., Bouwman, E. P., & Reinders, M. J. (2019). Determinants of real-life behavioural interventions to stimulate more plant-based and less animal-based diets: A systematic review. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 93, 281–303. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2019.09.019>

Taylor, H., Tonsor, G. T., Lusk, J. L., & Schroeder, T. C. (2022). Benchmarking US consumption and perceptions of beef and PL ant- BASED proteins. *Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy*, aepp.13287. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aepp.13287>

Van Bergen, G., Neufingerl, N., Meijboom, S., De Rosa Spierings, K., Zandstra, E. H., & Polet, I. (2024). What's cooking, if not meat? Effects of repeated home-use, recipe inspiration and meal context on perception of plant-based meat analogues. *Appetite*, 193, 107135. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2023.107135>

van der Linden, S. (2014). On the relationship between personal experience, affect and risk perception: The case of climate change. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 44(5), 430–440. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.2008>

Willett, W., Rockström, J., Loken, B., Springmann, M., Lang, T., Vermeulen, S., Garnett, T., Tilman, D., DeClerck, F., Wood, A., Jonell, M., Clark, M., Gordon, L. J., Fanzo, J., Hawkes, C., Zurayk, R., Rivera, J. A., De Vries, W., Majele Sibanda, L., ... Murray, C. J. L. (2019). Food in the Anthropocene: The EAT–Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. *The Lancet*, 393(10170), 447–492. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(18\)31788-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31788-4)

Zhao, S., Wang, L., Hu, W., & Zheng, Y. (2022). Meet the meatless: Demand for new generation plant-based meat alternatives. *Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy*, aepp.13232. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aepp.13232>