



CEGA
Center for Effective Global Action

Reporting results for your study on the AEA RCT Registry:

Expansion of Small Firms and Job Creation: Evidence from Uganda

We are a team of researchers studying pre-registration, pre-analysis plans, and the reporting of results in social science research. Our research team has developed a short template to facilitate reporting all pre-registered hypotheses for a given study.

We have extracted hypotheses from your study registered on the AEA RCT registry and attempted to find results for each of them.

We extracted 23 main hypotheses from the registration. We found results for 9 main hypotheses

It would be extremely valuable for the research community if you could provide results that we were unable to find and review the ones that we found. We also encourage you to post these results via the Post-Trial section on the AEA RCT Registry page for your study (in the [“Reports, Papers, and Other Materials” tab](#)). If you would like to use an empty results report template, you can access it by [clicking here](#).

We thank you for your valuable participation.

Edward Miguel (UC Berkeley)
Bertil Tungodden (NHH Norwegian School of Economics)
Erik Ø. Sørensen (NHH Norwegian School of Economics)
Fernando Hoces de la Guardia (UC Berkeley)

The following research hypotheses' results were NOT FOUND.

We ask you to verify if you agree with the attached encoding, as you recorded them on the AEA registry. If you disagree or would have encoded them differently, please note your preferred encoding using the attached template.

Also, we ask you to please fill the templates in with the results that we were unable to find.



Questions about how to fill in this report? See this [brief explainer](#) and this [pre-filled example](#).

[Here](#) is your original registration, and the attachment [details Bassi.pdf](#) contains the details of how your registration was encoded.

Hypothesis # 1 (as interpreted from pre-registration)

Output is not different for firms in Group 2 (matching trained employees) compared to firms in Group 0 (control)

Mostly agree with this statement? ☐ Yes ☐ No If not, please say why and add correct one:

Please provide closest result to this pre-registered hypothesis. **We could not find this result.**

$\hat{\beta}$ = , Units: , SE = , N = , p-value =

and/or attach document with results and provide the location below:

Can't access it right now, but I remember it was ☐ statistically significant ☐ null

Why not originally in paper/report:

(select all that apply)

☐ Didn't collect that data ☐ Not included in write-up
☐ Null result ☐ Unfinished paper ☐ Missed by reviewer team

Other comments:

Primary pre-registered heterogeneity Agree with below? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If you disagree with this interpretation of estimates and/or believe that some dimensions of primary pre-registered heterogeneity are missing, add or modify them below. We will keep track of your edits.

Dimension	Effect	SE	Comments

If more rows are needed for primary heterogeneity tests, download from [here](#).



Questions about how to fill in this report? See this [brief explainer](#) and this [pre-filled example](#).

[Here](#) is your original registration, and the attachment [details Bassi.pdf](#) contains the details of how your registration was encoded.

Hypothesis # 2 (as interpreted from pre-registration)

Output is not different for firms in Group 3 (matching untrained employees) compared to firms in Group 0 (control)

Mostly agree with this statement? ☐ Yes ☐ No If not, please say why and add correct one:

Please provide closest result to this pre-registered hypothesis. **We could not find this result.**

$\hat{\beta}$ = , Units: , SE = , N = , p-value =

and/or attach document with results and provide the location below:

Can't access it right now, but I remember it was ☐ statistically significant ☐ null

Why not originally in paper/report:

(select all that apply)

☐ Didn't collect that data ☐ Not included in write-up
☐ Null result ☐ Unfinished paper ☐ Missed by reviewer team

Other comments:

Primary pre-registered heterogeneity Agree with below? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If you disagree with this interpretation of estimates and/or believe that some dimensions of primary pre-registered heterogeneity are missing, add or modify them below. We will keep track of your edits.

Dimension	Effect	SE	Comments
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

If more rows are needed for primary heterogeneity tests, download from [here](#).



Questions about how to fill in this report? See this [brief explainer](#) and this [pre-filled example](#).

[Here](#) is your original registration, and the attachment [details Bassi.pdf](#) contains the details of how your registration was encoded.

Hypothesis # 3 (as interpreted from pre-registration)

Output is not different for firms in Group 4 (matching + subsidies for untrained employees) compared to firms in Group 0 (control)

Mostly agree with this statement? ☐ Yes ☐ No If not, please say why and add correct one:

Please provide closest result to this pre-registered hypothesis. **We could not find this result.**

$\hat{\beta}$ = , Units: , SE = , N = , p-value =

and/or attach document with results and provide the location below:

Can't access it right now, but I remember it was ☐ statistically significant ☐ null

Why not originally in paper/report:

(select all that apply)

☐ Didn't collect that data ☐ Not included in write-up
☐ Null result ☐ Unfinished paper ☐ Missed by reviewer team

Other comments:

Primary pre-registered heterogeneity Agree with below? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If you disagree with this interpretation of estimates and/or believe that some dimensions of primary pre-registered heterogeneity are missing, add or modify them below. We will keep track of your edits.

Dimension	Effect	SE	Comments

If more rows are needed for primary heterogeneity tests, download from [here](#).



Questions about how to fill in this report? See this [brief explainer](#) and this [pre-filled example](#).

[Here](#) is your original registration, and the attachment [details Bassi.pdf](#) contains the details of how your registration was encoded.

Hypothesis # 4 (as interpreted from pre-registration)

Output is not different for firms in Group 5 (subsides for any employees) compared to firms in Group 0 (control)

Mostly agree with this statement? ☐ Yes ☐ No If not, please say why and add correct one:

Please provide closest result to this pre-registered hypothesis. **We could not find this result.**

$\hat{\beta}$ = , Units: , SE = , N = , p-value =

and/or attach document with results and provide the location below:

Can't access it right now, but I remember it was ☐ statistically significant ☐ null

Why not originally in paper/report:

(select all that apply)

☐ Didn't collect that data

☐ Not included in write-up

☐ Null result

☐ Unfinished paper

☐ Missed by reviewer team

Other comments:

Primary pre-registered heterogeneity

Agree with below? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If you disagree with this interpretation of estimates and/or believe that some dimensions of primary pre-registered heterogeneity are missing, add or modify them below. We will keep track of your edits.

Dimension

Effect

SE

Comments

If more rows are needed for primary heterogeneity tests, download from [here](#).



Questions about how to fill in this report? See this [brief explainer](#) and this [pre-filled example](#).

[Here](#) is your original registration, and the attachment [details Bassi.pdf](#) contains the details of how your registration was encoded.

Hypothesis # 5 (as interpreted from pre-registration)

Output is not different for firms in Group 6 (information about credit products) compared to firms in Group 0 (control)

Mostly agree with this statement? ☐ Yes ☐ No If not, please say why and add correct one:

Please provide closest result to this pre-registered hypothesis. **We could not find this result.**

$\hat{\beta}$ = , Units: , SE = , N = , p-value =

and/or attach document with results and provide the location below:

Can't access it right now, but I remember it was ☐ statistically significant ☐ null

Why not originally in paper/report:

(select all that apply)

☐ Didn't collect that data ☐ Not included in write-up
☐ Null result ☐ Unfinished paper ☐ Missed by reviewer team

Other comments:

Primary pre-registered heterogeneity Agree with below? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If you disagree with this interpretation of estimates and/or believe that some dimensions of primary pre-registered heterogeneity are missing, add or modify them below. We will keep track of your edits.

Dimension	Effect	SE	Comments
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

If more rows are needed for primary heterogeneity tests, download from [here](#).



Questions about how to fill in this report? See this [brief explainer](#) and this [pre-filled example](#).

[Here](#) is your original registration, and the attachment [details Bassi.pdf](#) contains the details of how your registration was encoded.

Hypothesis # 6 (as interpreted from pre-registration)

Profitability is not different for firms in Group 2 (matching trained employees) compared to firms in Group 0 (control)

Mostly agree with this statement? ☐ Yes ☐ No If not, please say why and add correct one:

Please provide closest result to this pre-registered hypothesis. **We could not find this result.**

$\hat{\beta}$ = , Units: , SE = , N = , p-value =

and/or attach document with results and provide the location below:

Can't access it right now, but I remember it was ☐ statistically significant ☐ null

Why not originally in paper/report:

(select all that apply)

☐ Didn't collect that data ☐ Not included in write-up
☐ Null result ☐ Unfinished paper ☐ Missed by reviewer team

Other comments:

Primary pre-registered heterogeneity Agree with below? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If you disagree with this interpretation of estimates and/or believe that some dimensions of primary pre-registered heterogeneity are missing, add or modify them below. We will keep track of your edits.

Dimension	Effect	SE	Comments
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

If more rows are needed for primary heterogeneity tests, download from [here](#).



Questions about how to fill in this report? See this [brief explainer](#) and this [pre-filled example](#).

[Here](#) is your original registration, and the attachment [details Bassi.pdf](#) contains the details of how your registration was encoded.

Hypothesis # 7 (as interpreted from pre-registration)

Profitability is not different for firms in Group 3 (matching untrained employees) compared to firms in Group 0 (control)

Mostly agree with this statement? ☐ Yes ☐ No If not, please say why and add correct one:

Please provide closest result to this pre-registered hypothesis. **We could not find this result.**

$\hat{\beta}$ = , Units: , SE = , N = , p-value =

and/or attach document with results and provide the location below:

Can't access it right now, but I remember it was ☐ statistically significant ☐ null

Why not originally in paper/report:

(select all that apply)

☐ Didn't collect that data ☐ Not included in write-up
☐ Null result ☐ Unfinished paper ☐ Missed by reviewer team

Other comments:

Primary pre-registered heterogeneity Agree with below? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If you disagree with this interpretation of estimates and/or believe that some dimensions of primary pre-registered heterogeneity are missing, add or modify them below. We will keep track of your edits.

Dimension	Effect	SE	Comments

If more rows are needed for primary heterogeneity tests, download from [here](#).



Questions about how to fill in this report? See this [brief explainer](#) and this [pre-filled example](#).

[Here](#) is your original registration, and the attachment [details Bassi.pdf](#) contains the details of how your registration was encoded.

Hypothesis # 8 (as interpreted from pre-registration)

Profitability is not different for firms in Group 5 (subsides for any employees) compared to firms in Group 0 (control)

Mostly agree with this statement? ☐ Yes ☐ No If not, please say why and add correct one:

Please provide closest result to this pre-registered hypothesis. **We could not find this result.**

$\hat{\beta}$ = , Units: , SE = , N = , p-value =

and/or attach document with results and provide the location below:

Can't access it right now, but I remember it was ☐ statistically significant ☐ null

Why not originally in paper/report:

(select all that apply)

☐ Didn't collect that data ☐ Not included in write-up
☐ Null result ☐ Unfinished paper ☐ Missed by reviewer team

Other comments:

Primary pre-registered heterogeneity Agree with below? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If you disagree with this interpretation of estimates and/or believe that some dimensions of primary pre-registered heterogeneity are missing, add or modify them below. We will keep track of your edits.

Dimension	Effect	SE	Comments

If more rows are needed for primary heterogeneity tests, download from [here](#).



Questions about how to fill in this report? See this [brief explainer](#) and this [pre-filled example](#).

[Here](#) is your original registration, and the attachment [details Bassi.pdf](#) contains the details of how your registration was encoded.

Hypothesis # 9 (as interpreted from pre-registration)

Profitability is not different for firms in Group 6 (information about credit products) compared to firms in Group 0 (control)

Mostly agree with this statement? ☐ Yes ☐ No If not, please say why and add correct one:

Please provide closest result to this pre-registered hypothesis. **We could not find this result.**

$\hat{\beta}$ = , Units: , SE = , N = , p-value =

and/or attach document with results and provide the location below:

Can't access it right now, but I remember it was ☐ statistically significant ☐ null

Why not originally in paper/report:

(select all that apply)

☐ Didn't collect that data ☐ Not included in write-up
☐ Null result ☐ Unfinished paper ☐ Missed by reviewer team

Other comments:

Primary pre-registered heterogeneity Agree with below? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If you disagree with this interpretation of estimates and/or believe that some dimensions of primary pre-registered heterogeneity are missing, add or modify them below. We will keep track of your edits.

Dimension	Effect	SE	Comments

If more rows are needed for primary heterogeneity tests, download from [here](#).



Questions about how to fill in this report? See this [brief explainer](#) and this [pre-filled example](#).

[Here](#) is your original registration, and the attachment [details Bassi.pdf](#) contains the details of how your registration was encoded.

Hypothesis # 10 (as interpreted from pre-registration)

Growth of Capital Stock is not different for firms in Group 2 (matching trained employees) compared to firms in Group 0 (control)

Mostly agree with this statement? ☐ Yes ☐ No If not, please say why and add correct one:

Please provide closest result to this pre-registered hypothesis. **We could not find this result.**

$\hat{\beta}$ = , Units: , SE = , N = , p-value =

and/or attach document with results and provide the location below:

Can't access it right now, but I remember it was ☐ statistically significant ☐ null

Why not originally in paper/report:

(select all that apply)

☐ Didn't collect that data ☐ Not included in write-up
☐ Null result ☐ Unfinished paper ☐ Missed by reviewer team

Other comments:

Primary pre-registered heterogeneity

Agree with below? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If you disagree with this interpretation of estimates and/or believe that some dimensions of primary pre-registered heterogeneity are missing, add or modify them below. We will keep track of your edits.

Dimension	Effect	SE	Comments

If more rows are needed for primary heterogeneity tests, download from [here](#).



Questions about how to fill in this report? See this [brief explainer](#) and this [pre-filled example](#).

[Here](#) is your original registration, and the attachment [details Bassi.pdf](#) contains the details of how your registration was encoded.

Hypothesis # 11 (as interpreted from pre-registration)

Growth of Capital Stock is not different for firms in Group 3 (matching untrained employees) compared to firms in Group 0 (control)

Mostly agree with this statement? ☐ Yes ☐ No If not, please say why and add correct one:

Please provide closest result to this pre-registered hypothesis. **We could not find this result.**

$\hat{\beta}$ = , Units: , SE = , N = , p-value =

and/or attach document with results and provide the location below:

Can't access it right now, but I remember it was ☐ statistically significant ☐ null

Why not originally in paper/report:

(select all that apply)

☐ Didn't collect that data ☐ Not included in write-up
☐ Null result ☐ Unfinished paper ☐ Missed by reviewer team

Other comments:

Primary pre-registered heterogeneity

Agree with below? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If you disagree with this interpretation of estimates and/or believe that some dimensions of primary pre-registered heterogeneity are missing, add or modify them below. We will keep track of your edits.

Dimension	Effect	SE	Comments

If more rows are needed for primary heterogeneity tests, download from [here](#).



Questions about how to fill in this report? See this [brief explainer](#) and this [pre-filled example](#).

[Here](#) is your original registration, and the attachment [details Bassi.pdf](#) contains the details of how your registration was encoded.

Hypothesis # 12 (as interpreted from pre-registration)

Growth of Capital Stock is not different for firms in Group 4 (matching + subsidies for untrained employees) compared to firms in Group 0 (control)

Mostly agree with this statement? ☐ Yes ☐ No If not, please say why and add correct one:

Please provide closest result to this pre-registered hypothesis. **We could not find this result.**

$\hat{\beta}$ = , Units: , SE = , N = , p-value =

and/or attach document with results and provide the location below:

Can't access it right now, but I remember it was ☐ statistically significant ☐ null

Why not originally in paper/report:

(select all that apply)

☐ Didn't collect that data ☐ Not included in write-up
☐ Null result ☐ Unfinished paper ☐ Missed by reviewer team

Other comments:

Primary pre-registered heterogeneity Agree with below? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If you disagree with this interpretation of estimates and/or believe that some dimensions of primary pre-registered heterogeneity are missing, add or modify them below. We will keep track of your edits.

Dimension	Effect	SE	Comments

If more rows are needed for primary heterogeneity tests, download from [here](#).



Questions about how to fill in this report? See this [brief explainer](#) and this [pre-filled example](#).

[Here](#) is your original registration, and the attachment [details Bassi.pdf](#) contains the details of how your registration was encoded.

Hypothesis # 13 (as interpreted from pre-registration)

Growth of Capital Stock is not different for firms in Group 5 (subsides for any employees) compared to firms in Group 0 (control)

Mostly agree with this statement? ☐ Yes ☐ No If not, please say why and add correct one:

Please provide closest result to this pre-registered hypothesis. **We could not find this result.**

$\hat{\beta}$ = , Units: , SE = , N = , p-value =

and/or attach document with results and provide the location below:

Can't access it right now, but I remember it was ☐ statistically significant ☐ null

Why not originally in paper/report:

(select all that apply)

☐ Didn't collect that data ☐ Not included in write-up
☐ Null result ☐ Unfinished paper ☐ Missed by reviewer team

Other comments:

Primary pre-registered heterogeneity Agree with below? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If you disagree with this interpretation of estimates and/or believe that some dimensions of primary pre-registered heterogeneity are missing, add or modify them below. We will keep track of your edits.

Dimension	Effect	SE	Comments
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

If more rows are needed for primary heterogeneity tests, download from [here](#).



Questions about how to fill in this report? See this [brief explainer](#) and this [pre-filled example](#).

[Here](#) is your original registration, and the attachment [details Bassi.pdf](#) contains the details of how your registration was encoded.

Hypothesis # 14 (as interpreted from pre-registration)

Growth of Capital Stock is not different for firms in Group 6 (information about credit products) compared to firms in Group 0 (control)

Mostly agree with this statement? ☐ Yes ☐ No If not, please say why and add correct one:

Please provide closest result to this pre-registered hypothesis. **We could not find this result.**

$\hat{\beta}$ = , Units: , SE = , N = , p-value =

and/or attach document with results and provide the location below:

Can't access it right now, but I remember it was ☐ statistically significant ☐ null

Why not originally in paper/report:

(select all that apply)

☐ Didn't collect that data ☐ Not included in write-up
☐ Null result ☐ Unfinished paper ☐ Missed by reviewer team

Other comments:

Primary pre-registered heterogeneity

Agree with below? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If you disagree with this interpretation of estimates and/or believe that some dimensions of primary pre-registered heterogeneity are missing, add or modify them below. We will keep track of your edits.

Dimension	Effect	SE	Comments

If more rows are needed for primary heterogeneity tests, download from [here](#).

The following research hypotheses' results were FOUND.

We ask you to verify if you agree with the attached encoding, as you recorded them on the AEA registry.

If you disagree or would have encoded them differently, please note your preferred encoding using the attached template.



Questions about how to fill in this report? See this [brief explainer](#) and this [pre-filled example](#).

[Here](#) is your original registration, and the attachment [details Bassi.pdf](#) contains the details of how your registration was encoded.

Hypothesis # 15 (as interpreted from pre-registration)

Employment is not different for workers in Group 1 (scholarship) compared to workers in Group 0 (control)

Mostly agree with this statement? ☐ Yes ☐ No If not, please say why and add correct one:

Closest **result** to this pre-registered hypothesis (as found in article, publication, or write-up)

$\hat{\beta} = 0.09$, SE = 0.02, p-value: 1E-3

Location: ECMA, Pg 2388, Table III, Row 2, Col 1

Agree with **result**? ☐ Yes ☐ No If not, please tell us why here:

Primary pre-registered heterogeneity Agree with below? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If you disagree with this interpretation of estimates and/or believe that some dimensions of primary pre-registered heterogeneity are missing, add or modify them below. We will keep track of your edits.

Dimension	Effect	SE	Comments

If more rows are needed for primary heterogeneity tests, download from [here](#).



Questions about how to fill in this report? See this [brief explainer](#) and this [pre-filled example](#).

[Here](#) is your original registration, and the attachment [details Bassi.pdf](#) contains the details of how your registration was encoded.

Hypothesis # 16 (as interpreted from pre-registration)

Employment is not different for workers in Group 2 (scholarship + matching) compared to workers in Group 0 (control)

Mostly agree with this statement? ☐ Yes ☐ No If not, please say why and add correct one:

Closest **result** to this pre-registered hypothesis (as found in article, publication, or write-up)

$\hat{\beta} = 0.063$, SE = 0.023, p-value: 7.0000000000000001E-3

Location: NBER WP, Pg 50, Table 8, Col 1, Row 2

Agree with **result**? ☐ Yes ☐ No If not, please tell us why here:

Primary pre-registered heterogeneity Agree with below? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If you disagree with this interpretation of estimates and/or believe that some dimensions of primary pre-registered heterogeneity are missing, add or modify them below. We will keep track of your edits.

Dimension	Effect	SE	Comments

If more rows are needed for primary heterogeneity tests, download from [here](#).



Questions about how to fill in this report? See this [brief explainer](#) and this [pre-filled example](#).

[Here](#) is your original registration, and the attachment [details Bassi.pdf](#) contains the details of how your registration was encoded.

Hypothesis # 17 (as interpreted from pre-registration)

Employment is not different for workers in Group 3 (matching) compared to workers in Group 0 (control)

Mostly agree with this statement? ☐ Yes ☐ No If not, please say why and add correct one:

Closest **result** to this pre-registered hypothesis (as found in article, publication, or write-up)

$\hat{\beta} = 0.051$, SE = 0.022, p-value: 2.4E-2

Location: NBER WP, Pg 50, Table 8, Col 1, Row 3

Agree with **result**? ☐ Yes ☐ No If not, please tell us why here:

Primary pre-registered heterogeneity Agree with below? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If you disagree with this interpretation of estimates and/or believe that some dimensions of primary pre-registered heterogeneity are missing, add or modify them below. We will keep track of your edits.

Dimension	Effect	SE	Comments

If more rows are needed for primary heterogeneity tests, download from [here](#).



Questions about how to fill in this report? See this [brief explainer](#) and this [pre-filled example](#).

[Here](#) is your original registration, and the attachment [details Bassi.pdf](#) contains the details of how your registration was encoded.

Hypothesis # 18 (as interpreted from pre-registration)

Employment is not different for workers in Group 4 (matching + subsidies) compared to workers in Group 0 (control)

Mostly agree with this statement? ☐ Yes ☐ No If not, please say why and add correct one:

Closest **result** to this pre-registered hypothesis (as found in article, publication, or write-up)

$\hat{\beta} = 0.063$, SE = 0.025, p-value: 4.599999999999999E-2

Location: ECMA, Pg 2388, Table III, Row 1, Col 1

Agree with **result**? ☐ Yes ☐ No If not, please tell us why here:

Primary pre-registered heterogeneity Agree with below? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If you disagree with this interpretation of estimates and/or believe that some dimensions of primary pre-registered heterogeneity are missing, add or modify them below. We will keep track of your edits.

Dimension	Effect	SE	Comments

If more rows are needed for primary heterogeneity tests, download from [here](#).



Questions about how to fill in this report? See this [brief explainer](#) and this [pre-filled example](#).

[Here](#) is your original registration, and the attachment [details Bassi.pdf](#) contains the details of how your registration was encoded.

Hypothesis # 19 (as interpreted from pre-registration)

Profitability is not different for firms in Group 4 (matching + subsidies for untrained employees) compared to firms in Group 0 (control)

Mostly agree with this statement? ☐ Yes ☐ No If not, please say why and add correct one:

Closest result to this pre-registered hypothesis (as found in article, publication, or write-up)

$$\hat{\beta} = 0.011, SE = 0.114$$

Location: ECMA, Pg 2403, Table VII, Row 1, Col 4

Agree with result? ☐ Yes ☐ No If not, please tell us why here:

Primary pre-registered heterogeneity Agree with below? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If you disagree with this interpretation of estimates and/or believe that some dimensions of primary pre-registered heterogeneity are missing, add or modify them below. We will keep track of your edits.

Dimension	Effect	SE	Comments

If more rows are needed for primary heterogeneity tests, download from [here](#).



Questions about how to fill in this report? See this [brief explainer](#) and this [pre-filled example](#).

[Here](#) is your original registration, and the attachment [details Bassi.pdf](#) contains the details of how your registration was encoded.

Hypothesis # 20 (as interpreted from pre-registration)

Wages are not different for workers in Group 1 (scholarship) compared to workers in Group 0 (control)

Mostly agree with this statement? ☐ Yes ☐ No If not, please say why and add correct one:

Closest result to this pre-registered hypothesis (as found in article, publication, or write-up)

$\hat{\beta} = 6.1$, SE = 1.8, p-value: 5.0000000000000001E-3

Location: ECMA, Pg 2388, Table III, Row 2, Col 4

Agree with result? ☐ Yes ☐ No If not, please tell us why here:

Primary pre-registered heterogeneity Agree with below? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If you disagree with this interpretation of estimates and/or believe that some dimensions of primary pre-registered heterogeneity are missing, add or modify them below. We will keep track of your edits.

Dimension	Effect	SE	Comments

If more rows are needed for primary heterogeneity tests, download from [here](#).



Questions about how to fill in this report? See this [brief explainer](#) and this [pre-filled example](#).

[Here](#) is your original registration, and the attachment [details Bassi.pdf](#) contains the details of how your registration was encoded.

Hypothesis # 21 (as interpreted from pre-registration)

Wages are not different for workers in Group 2 (scholarship + matching) compared to workers in Group 0 (control)

Mostly agree with this statement? ☐ Yes ☐ No If not, please say why and add correct one:

Closest result to this pre-registered hypothesis (as found in article, publication, or write-up)

$\hat{\beta} = 6.11$, SE = 2.89, p-value: 2.1000000000000001E-2

Location: NBER WP, Pg 51, Table 9, Col 1, Row 2

Agree with result? ☐ Yes ☐ No If not, please tell us why here:

Primary pre-registered heterogeneity Agree with below? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If you disagree with this interpretation of estimates and/or believe that some dimensions of primary pre-registered heterogeneity are missing, add or modify them below. We will keep track of your edits.

Dimension	Effect	SE	Comments

If more rows are needed for primary heterogeneity tests, download from [here](#).



Questions about how to fill in this report? See this [brief explainer](#) and this [pre-filled example](#).

[Here](#) is your original registration, and the attachment [details Bassi.pdf](#) contains the details of how your registration was encoded.

Hypothesis # 22 (as interpreted from pre-registration)

Wages are not different for workers in Group 3 (matching) compared to workers in Group 0 (control)

Mostly agree with this statement? ☐ Yes ☐ No If not, please say why and add correct one:

Closest result to this pre-registered hypothesis (as found in article, publication, or write-up)

$\hat{\beta} = 3.27$, SE = 2.71, p-value: p = 0.252

Location: NBER WP, Pg 51, Table 9, Col 1, Row 3

Agree with result? ☐ Yes ☐ No If not, please tell us why here:

Primary pre-registered heterogeneity Agree with below? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If you disagree with this interpretation of estimates and/or believe that some dimensions of primary pre-registered heterogeneity are missing, add or modify them below. We will keep track of your edits.

Dimension	Effect	SE	Comments

If more rows are needed for primary heterogeneity tests, download from [here](#).



Questions about how to fill in this report? See this [brief explainer](#) and this [pre-filled example](#).

[Here](#) is your original registration, and the attachment [details Bassi.pdf](#) contains the details of how your registration was encoded.

Hypothesis # 23 (as interpreted from pre-registration)

Wages are not different for workers in Group 4 (matching + subsidies) compared to workers in Group 0 (control)

Mostly agree with this statement? ☐ Yes ☐ No If not, please say why and add correct one:

Closest **result** to this pre-registered hypothesis (as found in article, publication, or write-up)

$\hat{\beta} = 1.89$, SE = 2.2, p-value: p = 0.60099999999999998

Location: ECMA, Pg 2388, Table III, Row 1, Col 4

Agree with **result**? ☐ Yes ☐ No If not, please tell us why here:

Primary pre-registered heterogeneity Agree with below? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If you disagree with this interpretation of estimates and/or believe that some dimensions of primary pre-registered heterogeneity are missing, add or modify them below. We will keep track of your edits.

Dimension	Effect	SE	Comments

If more rows are needed for primary heterogeneity tests, download from [here](#).